Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

The hydration structure of Br⁻ from anomalous x-ray diffraction

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2000 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12 A203

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/12/8A/324)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 27/05/2010 at 11:27

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

The hydration structure of Br⁻ from anomalous x-ray diffraction

S Ramos[†], A C Barnes[†], G W Neilson[†], D Thiaudiere[‡] and S Lequien[‡]§ [†] H H Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TL, UK [‡] European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Avenue des Martyrs, F-38043 Grenoble, France § Pierre Sle Laboratory, CEA Saclay, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette, France

Received 12 August 1999

Abstract. The method of anomalous x-ray diffraction (AXD) was applied to an aqueous solution of 6 molal RbBr in water. Data were gathered at two wavelengths below the absorption edge of Br⁻. Corrections were made for attenuation, inelastic scattering and background and container scattering. The corrected data were renormalized and put on an absolute scale of electron units. Fourier transformation of these results provided information on the Br⁻ hydration. It is found that the Br–O nearest neighbour distance is 3.4 Å and the first coordination shell contains between 6 and 7.5 water molecules. There is no evidence to suggest any longer range hydration structure.

1. Introduction

The properties of aqueous electrolyte solutions are strongly influenced by ion type and concentration, and a knowledge of the structure around ions provides essential information needed to understand the origin of their rich and varied behaviour. In the 1970s, the method of neutron diffraction and isotopic substitution (NDIS) was developed and used to determine the hydration properties of a number of ions as a function of concentration, counterion type and recently pressure and temperature [1, 2]. The NDIS method yields quantitative structural information on the total radial distribution function of an ion *I*, $G_I(r)$, in terms of a linear combination of its pair distribution functions $g_{I\alpha}(r)$. At relatively low ionic concentrations (less than 2 molal), $G_I(r)$ is dominated by the hydration structure and is used to make definitive statements about the coordination and conformation of an ion in solution. Results can also be used as a critical test of computer simulation and theoretical studies of model systems [3].

Despite the success of the NDIS method, the pairwise functions $g_{XO}(r)$ and $g_{XH}(r)$ of many ions are not known. For example, although the hydration structure of alkali ions such as Li⁺ and K⁺ is well established [4, 5], that for Na⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺ remains to be determined. (A method based on isomorphic x-ray diffraction and NDIS has been used with some success for Na⁺; however, the accuracy of the information is appreciably less than that obtained from an NDIS type experiment [6]). The situation is even more critical for the halogen ions where only the chloride hydration structure is fully determined [7].

Although it is unlikely that other methods will provide structural information at the same level of accuracy as the NDIS method, it is likely that x-ray methods which employ synchrotron radiation can be used to provide some details of ion–water and ion–ion structure. Indeed, the EXAFS spectroscopic technique has been widely applied to ions with atomic number Z > 25 to determine nearest neighbour distances and coordination numbers, although the accuracy of

A204 S Ramos et al

these is uncertain [8]. Additionally, anomalous x-ray diffraction (AXD) is being developed at various facilities and applied to both crystalline and liquid materials [9, 10].

In this paper, we present a detailed AXD study of Br^- hydration structure in a concentrated solution of RbBr. Although AXD results for Br^- hydration have already been published [11], we feel that the results presented here are more representative of the Br^- coordination, the data having been collected in a high energy resolution diffractometer and critically analysed [12].

2. Experimental method

2.1. Sample

Anomalous x-ray diffraction experiments were carried out on an aqueous solution of 6 molal rubidium bromide at ambient conditions on the ID1 instrument at the ESRF (Grenoble). The sample was prepared from Analar grade RbBr salt which was dissolved directly in deionized water. During the experiment, the sample was contained in a 0.5 mm silica tube; to avoid overheating due to the high x-ray flux (10^{13} s⁻¹ in the 1 mm beam) the sample was peristaltically pumped during each experimental run. Data were collected (figure 1) at two energies (-5 eV, -200 eV) below the bromide edge (13.474 keV); this ensures that corrections for fluorescence were unnecessary. Data were also gathered for background and empty container. Each run consisted of several scans over a *Q*-range of $0.2 \leq Q$ (A^{-1}) ≤ 12 corresponding to $1^{\circ} \leq 2\theta \leq 143^{\circ}$.



Figure 1. Measured scattering intensities from a 6 molal RbBr solution at two energies below the Br K edge (full line at 5 eV and dashed line at 200 eV).

2.2. Data analysis

Measured raw data were normalized to the same flux of incident photons, which was monitored for each measurement at each angle throughout the experiment.

Corrections for inelastic effects due predominantly to Compton scattering and to a much lesser extent resonant Raman scattering were not needed because of the use of an InSb(111)

analyser crystal. This crystal rejected inelastically scattered photons with an accuracy of a few eV. Additional inelastic effects arising from the wide slit geometry were accounted for by working with the difference between the data sets. This procedure was adopted since it is clear that the same inelastic behaviour is anticipated at the two incident energies, which are separated by approximately 200 eV.



Figure 2. Corrected and normalized difference, $\tilde{\Delta}_{Br}(q)$ (defined in equation (2)). The full line corresponds to the calculated function and the dashed line corresponds to the best fitting one showing no structure at small *r* (obtained by calculating back Fourier transforms of a modified function in real space).

The difference data were then corrected for absorption and scattering of the beam in the sample and container [13]. This correction was initially carried out on the individual intensities, which although in principle more accurate gave problems because of the sample dependent background. Instead, by applying the correction to the difference we overcame the need to subtract the background directly from the measured intensities. A small inaccuracy of less than 5% in the attenuation factors was calculated. A calculation of multiple scattering revealed that this accounts for less than 1% of the total scattering from our samples. Moreover, since this will be of similar magnitude at the two energies, this correction was ignored.

After all the corrections have been applied we can write the difference between the two corrected intensities as:

$$(I(q, E) - I(q, E')) = CN\left(\sum_{\alpha} (c_a f_a f_a^* - c_a f_{\alpha}' f_{\alpha}'^*) + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} (f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^* - f_{\alpha}' f_{\beta}'^*) (S_{\alpha\beta}(q) - 1) + D\right)$$
(1)

where N represents the total number of particles, c_{α} is the atomic fraction, $f_{\alpha}(q, E)$ is the total atomic form factor for the atomic species α , $f'_{\alpha}(q, E')$ is the total atomic form factor at the second energy E', $S_{\alpha\beta}(q)$ is the partial structure factor which carries all the information about the correlation between atoms α and β and each sum extends over the four atomic species, C and D are parameters which ensure normalization of the data.

A206 S Ramos et al

This equation is made up of two contributions. The first term is called the 'self-term' and is due to the correlation of each atom with itself. The second term is the 'distinct-term', due to the correlation of every atom with all the others; this is the one that carries the information about the structure and is approximately one order of magnitude smaller than the self-term.

The self-term was calculated from tabulated values of the $f_{\alpha}(q)$, $f_{\alpha}(q, E)$. This functional form exhibits a monotonic decline with increasing q and was fitted to the difference function. This procedure enabled us to calculate *NC* and *D*, and hence normalize our data to an absolute scale of electron units. The distinct term was extracted from equation (1) by subtracting the self-term (figure 2):

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{Br}(q) = c_{Br}^2 \operatorname{Re}(f_{Br}f_{Br}^* - f_{Br}'f_{Br}^{*\prime})(S_{BrBr} - 1) + 2c_{Br}c_{Rb}\operatorname{Re}(f_{Rb}f_{Br}^* - f_{Rb}'f_{Br}^{*\prime}) \\ \times (S_{RbBr} - 1) + 2c_{Br}[c_O\operatorname{Re}(f_Of_{Br}^* - f_O'f_{Br}^{*\prime})(S_{OBr} - 1) \\ + c_H\operatorname{Re}(f_Hf_{Br}^* - f_H'f_{Br}^{*\prime})(S_{HBr} - 1)]$$
(2)

where, in contrast to the NDIS experiments, it should be emphasized that the atomic form factors depend on q.

 $\Delta_{Br}(q)$ was Fourier transformed to give the x-ray modified total Br⁻ pair distribution function $\tilde{G}_{Br}(r)$, which according to equation (2) is a sum of the pair correlation functions, $g_{YBr}(r)$, convoluted with the q dependent form factors. The effect of these form factors is to broaden and diminish in height the real pair correlation functions.

The calculation of coordination numbers requires that the scale obtained after normalization of the intensities is correct. The normalization was checked by comparing the value at small r obtained from the experimental data with that expected from the theoretical weighting factors for each $g_{YBr}(r)$; that is:

$$\tilde{G}_{Br}(0) - 1 = -2c_{Br}c_{Rb}\operatorname{Re}(f_{Rb}f_{Br}^* - f_{Rb}'f_{Br}^{*\prime}) - c_{Br}^2\operatorname{Re}(f_{Br}f_{Br}^* - f_{Br}'f_{Br}^{*\prime}) -2c_{Br}c_O\operatorname{Re}(f_Of_{Br}^* - f_O'f_{Br}^{*\prime}) - 2c_{Br}c_H\operatorname{Re}(f_Hf_{Br}^* - f_H'f_{Br}^{*\prime})$$
(3)

where the form factors are evaluated at q = 0.

The expected value for the normalization when obtained as described before is -1.44 electron units at r = 0 and the value obtained from the experimental data was -1.47.

Because $\tilde{G}_{Br}(r)$ is the result of a F.T. of partial structure factors multiplied by q dependent atomic form factors, care must be taken in the evaluation of coordination numbers. We have approached this difficulty in two ways. The more general method is to fit Gaussians to $\tilde{G}_{Br}(r)$ and try to account for the different expected contributions from each pair distribution function. A more specific method works well for the case in which there is no overlap of the different g(r) in real space. It is then possible to calculate the Fourier transform of $\tilde{\Delta}_{Br}(q)$ divided by the weighting factor of certain contribution. This will allow a more accurate value of the coordination number of that contribution to be obtained.

The system studied in this case was a solution of RbBr in water and overlap between the pair contribution functions associated with O and H will occur in the hydration peak. Hydrogen in the water molecule is responsible for one-quarter of the total scattering (it contributes with two electrons) and it cannot be disregarded; as a consequence the coordination number of water was calculated instead of that for Br–O (see next paragraph).

3. Results and discussion

The function $\tilde{G}_{Br}(r)$ (figure 3) contains a weighted sum of all four structure functions pertaining to the Br⁻ ion. From it we can extract information regarding the near neighbour hydration of the ion. In particular, we can ascribe the peak centred at 3.4 Å in the range 2.5 Å $\leq r \leq 4.3$ Å as being due to the Br⁻ first hydration shell. Of course, this peak will also have contributions

from $g_{BrH}(r)$, with a weighting factor which will be approximately one-quarter of that from the Br–O term and they cannot be disregarded. Because of the concentration of the solution we could expect contributions from $g_{BrRr}(r)$ or possibly $g_{BrBr}(r)$ in the range obtained for the first peak at larger distances than 3.4 Å. This hypothesis is supported by a calculation of 15 water molecules around a Br⁻ ion when no overlap is assumed! A more realistic estimate of the value of the coordination number is obtained by fitting gaussians to the first peak and taking its maximum as the centre of the water contribution. When this procedure is followed a more realistic value for the coordination number of between 6 and 7.5 is obtained.



Figure 3. $\tilde{G}_{Br}(r)$, defined as the $\tilde{\Delta}_{Br}(q)$ Fourier transform. The full line corresponds to the best fitting function showing no structure at *r* smaller than the radius of the Br ion and the dashed line corresponds to the function calculated directly from the experimental data.

The result above represents a significant breakthrough in our knowledge of anion structure and is almost equivalent to that obtained from the NDIS method on ions such as Cl⁻ [7]. Comparison between $\tilde{G}_{Br}(r)$ and $G_{Cl}(r)$ shows that both ions have a relatively short range structure which extends no further than a first coordination shell. Moreover, it appears that the extent of the hydration shell scales with ion size, i.e. for $r_{Br^-} = 1.96$ Å and $r_{Cl^-} = 1.81$ Å the corresponding peak positions in their G(r) appear at 3.4 Å and 3.3 Å respectively.

Comparison of our results with those obtained from EXAFS (extended x-ray absorption fine structure) on RbBr at low concentrations [14] and another AXD experiment on ErBr₃ [11] are particularly interesting. The EXAFS data covered the range $2 \le q(\text{Å}^{-1}) \le 9.8$ and were fitted by a sophisticated model procedure. The result of this was to produce a relatively structured g(r) with a first peak of similar size and shape to that presented here and a coordination number of 6.9. However, there is substantial structure beyond the first shell suggesting at least two or even three subsequent hydration shells. It could be that the difference between our results and those produced from the EXAFS experiment is due to a concentration effect, suggesting that as the system dilutes the Br⁻–water structure becomes enhanced. We feel that such an explanation is most unlikely as we have recently carried out an EXAFS study of RbBr aqueous solution at a number of concentrations between 0.1 and 6 molal and the raw data remain totally unaltered [15].

A208 S Ramos et al

It is also noted that the AXD experiment on 3 molal ErBr_3 in water produced an even stranger result for the Br⁻ coordination showing correlations in the data out to around 6 Å, and suggesting well defined correlations between Br⁻ ions themselves and Br–Er ions. Such correlations may well exist; however it is clear from the results shown in figure 5 of [11] that a substantial number of correlations in the *r*-space results can only be due to noise introduced in the Fourier transformation of ill conditioned data sets.

In order to progress further with this work it is clear that it is essential to minimize selfand counterion contributions to the total functions. This can be done by either working at lower concentrations or by using ions with widely differing atomic masses e.g. LiBr and Rb(OH).

Results from a conventional x-ray diffraction experiment on 4 M HBr confirm our results and lack of structure beyond the first hydration shell [16].

4. Conclusions and future work

The results presented above show that the AXD method has the potential to provide useful and accurate information on the hydration structure for heavy ions (Z > 28) in aqueous solution. Although less direct than the NDIS methods due to the q dependence of x-ray form factors, it is nevertheless possible to obtain quantitative details of the nearest neighbour coordination around the target ion.

The results also show that AXD can be applied to Br^- and other heavy anions, and at lower concentrations. By judicious choice of a lighter counterion it should be possible to obtain a more definitive information of the structure around a heavy ion.

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the support staff at the ESRF. They also wish to thank Mr R M Exley for the technical support in the design and construction of the apparatus used in the designing the cell used in the experiment. S Ramos acknowledges the support from the European Union TMR Programme in the pursuance of her PhD.

References

- [1] Enderby J E and Neilson G W 1981 Rep. Prog. Phys. 44 59 3
- [2] Neilson G W and Adya A K 1997 R. Soc. Chem. Ann. Rep. C 93 101
- [3] Neilson G W, Ansell S and Wilson J E 1995 Z. Naturf. a 50 247
- [4] Howell I and Neilson G W 1996 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8 4455
- [5] Neilson G W and Skipper N T 1985 Chem. Phys. Lett. 114 35
- [6] Skipper N T and Neilson G W 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 4141
- [7] Powell D H, Neilson G W and Enderby J E 1993 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 5 5723
- [8] Filipponi A 1994 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6 8415
- Waseda Y 1984 Novel Applications of Anomalous (Resonance) X-ray Scattering for Structural Characterization of Disordered Materials (New York: Springer)
- [10] Fuoss P H, Eisenberg P, Warburton W K and Bienenstock A 1981 Phys. Rev. Lett. 46 1537
- [11] Beudert R, Bertagnolli H and Zeller M 1997 J. Chem. Phys. 106 8841
- [12] Suck J B, Raoux D, Chieux P and Riekel C 1993 Methods in the Determination of Partial Structure Factors (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [13] Paalman H H and Pings G J 1962 Appl. Crystallogr. 33 2635
- [14] D'Angelo P, Di Nola A, Filipponi A, Pavel N V and Roccatano D 1994 J. Chem. Phys. 100 985
- [15] Ramos S, Barnes A C and Neilson G W 1999 ESRF Annual Reports CH650
- [16] Burke C A 1989 PhD Thesis University of Bristol